Departmental Disclosure Statement

Overseas Investment Amendment Bill (No 2)

The departmental disclosure statement for a government Bill seeks to bring together in one place a range of information to support and enhance the Parliamentary and public scrutiny of that Bill.

It identifies:

- the general policy intent of the Bill and other background policy material;
- some of the key quality assurance products and processes used to develop and test the content of the Bill; and
- the presence of certain significant powers or features in the Bill that might be of particular Parliamentary or public interest and warrant an explanation.

This disclosure statement was prepared by The Treasury.

The Treasury certifies that, to the best of its knowledge and understanding, the information provided is complete and accurate at the date of finalisation below.

12 March 2020.

Contents

Contents	2
Part One: General Policy Statement	3
Part Two: Background Material and Policy Information	5
Part Three: Testing of Legislative Content	7
Part Four: Significant Legislative Features	.11

Part One: General Policy Statement

This Bill amends the Overseas Investment Act 2005 (the Act). The Bill's purpose is to ensure that risks posed by foreign investment can be managed effectively, while cutting unnecessary red tape to better support productive overseas investment. These changes are consistent with the Act's purpose "that it is a privilege for overseas persons to own or control sensitive New Zealand assets."

- The Bill strengthens how the Act manages risk, by: introducing a "national interest test", which allows the Minister responsible for the Act to deny consent to any investment ordinarily screened under the Act that is considered to be contrary to New Zealand's national interest. This power has been modelled the Australian regime, and gives the Minister a broad discretion to consider what is in the national interest in each case;
- introducing a "call in power", which will allow the government to review certain investments not ordinarily screened and to impose conditions on, prohibit, or dispose of those investments that pose a significant risk to national security or public order;
- defining the strategically important businesses that the national interest test or call-in
 power will apply to. In general terms, strategically important businesses are businesses
 that develop, produce, or maintain military or dual-use technology, are critical direct
 suppliers to intelligence or security agencies, provide telecommunications infrastructure
 or services, generate or distribute electricity, are involved in designated ports and
 airports, are systemically important financial institutions or financial market
 infrastructures, or are media businesses that have an impact on New Zealand's media
 plurality. Further, investments in certain large irrigation schemes will be considered under
 the national interest test, and investments in businesses that hold or generate certain
 types of sensitive data (for example, health or financial data) may be reviewed under the
 call in power;
- requiring the Minister responsible for the Act to be the decision-making minister on these
 matters given the significance of these investments to New Zealand's interests;
- embedding a higher threshold for acquiring farm land, reflecting its significant economic and cultural importance, as well as ensuring that farm land is advertised in a way that best ensures New Zealanders have a chance to acquire it (once regulations are made);
- enabling decision-makers to consider the impacts of investments involving water bottling or bulk water extraction for human consumption on water quality and sustainability;
- providing better recognition for Māori cultural values, including by taking into account plans to protect or enhance wāhi tūpuna, wāhi tapu areas, and Māori reservations;
- requiring investors to disclose information relating to their proposed investment structure and tax treatment to Inland Revenue, to support the integrity of New Zealand's tax system (once regulations are made);
- strengthening the Regulator's enforcement tools, to ensure that the government can
 appropriately manage a range of breaches of the Act or actions by overseas persons that
 pose risks to national security and/or public order (for example, by placing an entity into
 statutory management); and
- facilitating greater information sharing between agencies on national security and public order risks, and ensuring that such information is appropriately managed during court proceedings.

This Bill also makes it simpler to make productive investments in New Zealand by:

- no longer requiring lower-risk transactions to be screened, such as:
 - investments in less sensitive land that is only screened because it adjoins land that is sensitive in its own right ('sensitive adjoining land');
 - transactions involving fundamentally New Zealand entities;

- leases of less than 10 years (whether this threshold is reached in a single or cumulative leases) other than residential leases;
- small transactions that do not grant an overseas investor any control of sensitive assets;
- transactions involving residential mortgage obligations, which support financial stability (once regulations are made); and
- simplifying the screening process for the remaining transactions by:
 - undertaking more targeted assessments of an investor's character and capability, by only considering serious proven matters, allegations of serious matters where proceedings have begun, and any enforceable undertakings entered into by the investor;
 - streamlining the process for determining whether an investment in sensitive land will benefit New Zealand, including by simplifying and clarifying the counterfactual assessment;
 - introducing statutory time frames for decisions by the regulator (once regulations are made). The motivations for this include introducing more rigour into the process and frontloading quality-control of applications; and
 - no longer requiring investors to carry out a full screening process for subsequent investment applications if they have been screened and approved in a prior investment.

The Bill follows amendments made through the Overseas Investment Amendment Act 2018 (the amendment Act). The amendment Act rationalised the screening regime for forestry assets and certain other profits-à-prendre, and added a general requirement for overseas persons to obtain consent to acquire residential land.

Part Two: Background Material and Policy Information

Published reviews or evaluations

2.1. Are there any publicly available inquiry, review or evaluation reports that have informed, or are relevant to, the policy to be given effect by this Bill?	YES			
Consultation document: Reform of the Overseas Investment Act 2005 - Facilitating produinvestment that supports New Zealanders' wellbeing, The Treasury, April 2019. https://treasury.govt.nz/publications/consultation/reform-overseas-investment-act-2005/				

Relevant international treaties

2.2. Does this Bill seek to give effect to New Zealand action in relation	NO
to an international treaty?	NO

Regulatory impact analysis

2.3. Were any regulatory impact statements provided to inform the policy decisions that led to this Bill?	YES
---	-----

"Reform of the Overseas Investment Act 2005 – Phase 2", The Treasury, 8 October 2019 updated 12 March 2020.<u>http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/informationreleases/ria</u>

Parts of the regulatory impact statement have been withheld under the grounds set out in the Official Information Act 1982. The particular withholding grounds are noted on the regulatory impact statement (RIS).

2.3.1. If so, did the RIA Team in the Treasury provide an independent opinion on the quality of any of these regulatory impact statements?

NO

The RIS above did not meet the threshold for needing an independent opinion on the quality of the regulatory impact assessment from the Regulatory Impact Assessment Team in the Treasury. An internal panel at the Treasury assessed both the RIS provided before Cabinet's consideration, as well as the updated RIS which was provided to the Associate Minister of Finance (Hon David Parker), who made a number of decisions following Cabinet's consideration of the reforms, as authorised by Cabinet. There was no change to the panel's overall assessment following a review of the updated RIS.

Overseas Investment Act RIS Review

Overall assessment: partially meets

The RIS clearly describes the policy problems, objectives, options and the policy process to date. It also clearly identifies where officials' recommendations differ from the options being recommended to Cabinet, and the differing judgements and weightings behind those differing recommendations.

The RIS is clearly written but lengthy, reflecting the complexity and breadth of the issues this policy package addresses.

The review panel assessed the great majority of the RIS as meeting the quality assurance criteria. The key reason for the panel's overall assessment being the RIS partially meets the quality assurance criteria is that the proposal regarding moving the rural land directive to primary legislation do not meet the consultation requirements. This proposal has not been consulted on publicly, or with key non-Crown stakeholders, including Māori. The proposals regarding special land acquisition also contain some features that have not been subject to public consultation. Addressing this would require appropriate consultations, and inclusion of the results of that consultation, in the proposals.

2.3.2. Are there aspects of the policy to be given effect by this Bill that	
were not addressed by, or that now vary materially from, the policy	NO
options analysed in these regulatory impact statements?	

Extent of impact analysis available

2.4. Has further impact analysis become available for any aspects of	YES
the policy to be given effect by this Bill?	IE3

The RIS has been updated subsequent to Cabinet's consideration to reflect policy decisions made by the Associate Minister of Finance (Hon David Parker), as authorised by Cabinet. The updates include:

- in applying the good character component of the investor test, allowing the decisionmaker to consider tax defaults over a relevant threshold, certain tax-related penalties and allegations of tax-related offences, settlement agreements made with the Overseas Investment Office (the OIO) and a broader range of contraventions of the principal Act;
- requiring applicants to disclose information about the structure and tax treatment of their proposed investment. This information will be provided to Inland Revenue for monitoring purposes and will not be considered as part of an application for consent;
- compensating third parties who have their registered interests removed from the title, when the Crown acquires special land, where those interests were registered on the title of the special land prior to it being purchased by the overseas investor; and
- empowering the OIO to gather and share information related to national security and public order risks. The OIO will be able to require a person to provide information if it has reasonable grounds to suspect that an investment is a non-notified voluntary call in transaction which may pose national security or public order risks. The OIO will also be able to share information with other agencies (and vice-versa) to aid in assessing national security and public order risks for transactions screened under the national interest test or subject to the call in power.

2.5. For the policy to be given effect by this Bill, is there analysis available on:	
(a) the size of the potential costs and benefits?	YES
(b) the potential for any group of persons to suffer a substantial unavoidable loss of income or wealth?	YES
These matters are considered in the RIS.	
http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/informationreleases/ria	

 2.6. For the policy to be given effect by this Bill, are the potential costs or benefits likely to be impacted by:

 (a) the level of effective compliance or non-compliance with applicable obligations or standards?
 YES

 (b) the nature and level of regulator effort put into encouraging or securing compliance?
 YES

 These matters are considered at a high level in the RIS.
 http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/informationreleases/ria

Part Three: Testing of Legislative Content

Consistency with New Zealand's international obligations

3.1. What steps have been taken to determine whether the policy to be given effect by this Bill is consistent with New Zealand's international obligations?

The Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade has been involved in the development of the changes this Bill gives effect to, to help assess whether the changes are consistent with the policy space preserved in trade agreements for the operation of our overseas investment screening regime.

Consistency with the government's Treaty of Waitangi obligations

3.2. What steps have been taken to determine whether the policy to be given effect by this Bill is consistent with the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi?

Treasury officials consulted with Te Puni Kōkiri and Te Arawhiti to determine whether the changes in the Bill are consistent with the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi.

Public consultation included hui with representatives from iwi organisations and Māori businesses, and the feedback informed changes to better account for Māori cultural values when considering investments in sensitive land. Investors' plans to protect or enhance historic heritage such as wāhi tapu can already be considered under the Act, and this will be expanded to include other sites of significance, such as wāhi tūpuna and Māori reservations, and places of ancestral and cultural significance. Once amended, the Act will recognise, as potential benefits of investments, protecting or enhancing wāhi tūpuna, wāhi tapu areas and Māori reservations and providing, protecting or enhancing access across land for the purposes of stewardship of historic heritage or a natural resource.

However, due to the timing of decisions, changes to the rural land directive and special land acquisition were not consulted on publicly, or with key non-government stakeholders, including Māori.

Consistency with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990

3.3. Has advice been provided to the Attorney-General on whether any provisions of this Bill appear to limit any of the rights and freedoms affirmed in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990?	YES
Advice provided to the Attorney-General by the Ministry of Justice, or a section Attorney-General, is generally expected to be available on the Ministry of Justi introduction of a Bill. Such advice, or reports, will be accessible on the Ministry	ice's website upon /'s website at:

http://www.justice.govt.nz/policy/constitutional-law-andhuman-rights/human-rights/bill-of-rights.

Offences, penalties and court jurisdictions

3.4. Does this Bill create, amend, or remove:						
(a) offences or penalties (including infringement offences or penalties and civil pecuniary penalty regimes)?	YES					
(b) the jurisdiction of a court or tribunal (including rights to judicial review or rights of appeal)?	NO					
The OIO's enforcement tools are being strengthened to enable proportionate responses to breaches of the Act and the Regulations, and to manage significant risks to national security and public order.						
Clause 44 differentiates and increases the maximum fixed civil pecuniary penalties availa						
 increasing the maximum civil pecuniary penalty for individuals to \$500,000, 						
	m					

• and introducing a separate maximum civil pecuniary penalty of \$10 million in any other case.

Clause 42 creates a new civil pecuniary penalty for breaching an enforceable undertaking, with an upper limit of \$50,000 for an individual and \$300,000 in any other case.

Clause 48 clarifies that the High Court can grant injunctions to restrain a person from engaging in conduct that constitutes or would constitute a contravention of the Act or Regulations. This clause does not expand the jurisdiction of the High Court.

There is nothing in the Bill that limits the jurisdiction of a Court or Tribunal. The new decisionmaking powers in the Act will be subject to judicial review.

3.4.1. Was the Ministry of Justice consulted about these provisions?	YES
The Ministry of Justice was consulted during both the policy development and Bill, and received draft versions of the Bill for comment. The Ministry's feedbac incorporated in the following provisions of the Bill.	
The new civil pecuniary penalty threshold was developed in close consultation	

of Justice, to ensure that it is aligned with current practice for penalties, as is reflected in the Telecommunications Act 2001 and following the Commerce Act 1986 reform. The Bill incorporates the Ministry of Justice's feedback that "civil" should be inserted into all references to "pecuniary penalties".

The Treasury also tested the proposal to differentiate the maximum thresholds between individuals and all other parties with the Ministry of Justice.

The Ministry of Justice was consulted in the policy development of the powers for managing national security and public order risks, and a draft of the Bill was provided to the Ministry for comment.

Privacy issues

3.5. Does this Bill create, amend or remove any provisions relating to	
the collection, storage, access to, correction of, use or disclosure of	YE
personal information?	

YES

Clause 35 allows the OIO to require a person to provide information when the Regulator is investigating whether a transaction is an overseas investment transaction or a call-in transaction, and whether a transaction gives rise to, or is likely to give rise to, a significant risk to national security or public order.

Clause 60 (new section 126) allows the OIO and the listed agencies to share information relevant to managing national security and public order risks, if sharing that information is necessary or desirable for managing national security and public order risks. Pursuant to new section 126(3), an agency that shares information may impose any conditions it thinks fit for maintaining the confidentiality of the any information provided.

Clause 32 allows the OIO to require investors to provide certain information about a proposed investment's structure and tax treatment. This information will be used by Inland Revenue for monitoring purposes and will not be considered as part of an application for consent.

	3.5.1. Was provisions?	the	Privacy	Commissioner	consulted	about	these	YES
The Office of the Privacy Commissioner agrees that the Bill and the new information gathering and disclosure powers comply with the relevant principles and guidelines set out in the Privacy								

External consultation

Act 1993.

3.6. Has there been any external consultation on the policy to be given effect by this Bill, or on a draft of this Bill?

YES

Consultation was undertaken from late-2018 to late-2019, before the provision of drafting instructions to Parliamentary Counsel Office in November 2019. Officials held meetings with stakeholders and the public (19 meetings with approximately 175 attendees throughout New Zealand and in Sydney). This included meetings open to the public, hui with representatives from iwi organisations and Māori businesses, and meetings with technical audiences and investors. A consultation document was released in April 2019 and 733 written submissions were received.

Due to the timing of policy development work, there was no consultation on some aspects of the policy package. Officials have since consulted extensively on these topics with relevant government agencies, legal counsel and stakeholders where appropriate.

An exposure draft of the Bill was not released due to time limitations. However, officials met with stakeholders in December 2019 to provide an update on and seek feedback following Cabinet's policy decisions, and the Cabinet Paper including the policy decisions was proactively released in December 2019.

The following agencies and entities have been consulted on the draft Bill: the Ministry for Primary Industries, the Ministry of Justice, the Depart of Conservation, the Ministry for the Environment, the Inland Revenue Department, the Ministry of Foreign Affairs and Trade, the Ministry of Defence, New Zealand Trade and Enterprise, the Department of the Prime Minister and Cabinet, Land Information New Zealand, Te Puni Kōkiri, the Ministry of Housing and Urban Development, the New Zealand Security Intelligence Service, the Government Communications Security Bureau, the Ministry of Business Innovation and Employment, the Ministry of Culture and Heritage, Te Arawhiti, and the Reserve Bank of New Zealand.

Other testing of proposals

3.7. Have the policy details to be given effect by this Bill been otherwise tested or assessed in any way to ensure the Bill's provisions are workable and complete?	YES
These changes were developed in close consultation with the OIO (the regulator under the Overseas Investment Act) with the aim of ensuring that they are workable.	

Part Four: Significant Legislative Features

Compulsory acquisition of private property

4.1. Does this Bill contain any provisions that could result in the compulsory acquisition of private property?	YES
Schedule 3 allows the Crown to compulsorily acquire fresh or seawater areas ('special land'), including the removal of any third parties' interests from the title acquires fresh or seawater areas. Schedule 3 requires compensation to be pro overseas investor, and allows third parties to apply for compensation if their re- are removed from the title, where those interests were registered on the title of seawater area prior to it being purchased by the overseas investor.	when the Crown ovided to the gistered interests
Clause 60 (new section 93) allows the Minister to order an overseas person or dispose of sensitive assets, acquired through a call-in or national interest trans Minister has determined that there is a significant risk to national security or punational interest and notified call-in transactions, a relevant condition will also rescaled before a disposal order can be made, and disposal orders cannot be risk can be adequately managed through another power. The overseas person proceeds of the disposal.	action, where the ublic order. For need to be made where the
Clause 60 (new sections 94 – 111) allows for the Crown to appoint a statutory organisation which owns sensitive assets, and which an overseas person has a interest in. The purpose of statutory management is to manage national securit order risks arising from the overseas person's interest and actions. The organis will be under the control of the statutory manager while those risks are manage can claim costs for the process from the overseas person's interest. Clause 60 requires statutory managers to have regard to legitimate interests in the organit managing risks to national security and public order. The proceeds of the dispersory overseas person's interest will go to the overseas person, after providing for the statutory manager.	acquired an ity and public sation or assets ed. The Crown (new section 99) isation while osal of the

Charges in the nature of a tax

4.2. Does this Bill create or amend a power to impose a fee, levy or charge in the nature of a tax?	NO
---	----

Retrospective effect

4.3. Does this Bill affect rights, freedoms, or impose obligations,	NO
retrospectively?	NO

Strict liability or reversal of the usual burden of proof for offences

4.4. Does this Bill:	
(a) create or amend a strict or absolute liability offence?	YES
(b) reverse or modify the usual burden of proof for an offence or a civil pecuniary penalty proceeding?	NO
Clause 60 (new section 85) makes persons strictly liable if they do not notify the Overseas Investment Office of a call-in transaction in military or dual use technology and critical direct suppliers. An administrative fine, as set out in the regulations, will apply in such cases. There is a risk that investors will unknowingly contravene this provision. However, strict liability is	

a risk that investors will unknowingly contravene this provision. However, strict liability is appropriate because it will only apply to a small category of high-risk transactions that the Overseas Investment Office needs to be aware of, whether or not the investor is knowingly avoiding the notification requirements. The Overseas Investment Office will provide guidance to investors and their advisors, to support them in businesses in determining whether the obligation applies in any particular case.

Civil or criminal immunity

4.5. Does this Bill create or amend a civil or criminal immunity for any	NO
person?	NO

Significant decision-making powers

4.6. Does this Bill create or amend a decision-making power to make a determination about a person's rights, obligations, or interests protected or recognised by law, and that could have a significant impact on those rights, obligations, or interests?	YES
The Bill provides the relevant Ministers with new decision-making powers, incl block, impose conditions on, or unwind transactions that are not currently subjurned under the Act. The Minister will be unable to exercise these powers unless the that various criteria are satisfied. This is consistent with the approach currently where the ultimate decision-making rests with the relevant Ministers (such as a of the Act). The new national interest test is of particular note, as it allows the consider any transaction ordinarily reviewed under the Act that may be contrar interest, and impose conditions or decline the transaction to preserve the national	ect to screening by are satisfied taken in the Act, under section 14 Minister to by to the national
The Bill also removes some decision-making powers where low-risk transaction from screening, including fundamentally New Zealand entities and most invest adjacent to sensitive land.	
Clause 13 amends the benefit to New Zealand test, simplifying the criteria for by reducing the 21 narrowly framed factors to 7 less prescriptive factors, with a factor that only applies to investments involving water bottling or bulk water ex human consumption.	an additional

Clause 60 introduces a new Part 3 to the Act, which will allow the Minister to impose conditions on an acquisition, to manage significant risks to national security and public order. Those conditions will affect an overseas person's rights, obligations and interests in relation to the relevant property.

These decision-making powers are appropriately limited through criteria, and decisions will be subject to judicial review.

Powers to make delegated legislation

4.7. Does this Bill create or amend a power to make delegated	
legislation that could amend an Act, define the meaning of a term in an	YES
Act, or grant an exemption from an Act or delegated legislation?	

New regulations will be needed to bring some of the call-in and national interest test powers into effect. The call-in power will apply to investments in strategically important business assets (and entities that hold such assets) as defined in the legislation and Regulations. The national interest test will also apply automatically to those strategically important business assets (and holders of such assets). Regulations are required to bring the full scope of these powers into effect; some assets that are strategically important (that is, their ownership by overseas persons could give rise to national security and public order risks) will be defined in regulations to allow for the appropriate level of detail and flexibility. The scope of these definitions will be constrained by the high-level definitions in the Act and a statutory requirement for these definitions to be no broader than necessary to manage national security and public order risks.

The exemption criteria in the Act will be amended to allow the Minister to exempt certain fundamentally New Zealand entities from the need to obtain consent.

The Bill requires applicants to disclose information about a screened investment's structure and tax treatment as part of an application. New regulations will be required to specify exactly what information will need to be provided. The form and information requirements will be constrained by the provisions in the Act.

4.8. Does this Bill create or amend any other powers to make delegated	NO
legislation?	NO

Any other unusual provisions or features

4.9. Does this Bill contain any provisions (other than those noted above) that are unusual or call for special comment?	NO
---	----

Classified security information in civil court proceedings

Clause 60 (new sections 113 – 125) sets out provisions for the protection of classified security information in civil court proceedings relating to the administration or enforcement of the Act. It sets out the manner in which classified security information may be used in those court proceedings which differ from normal civil procedure. These provisions are substantially the same as subpart 8 of Part 4 of the Telecommunications (Interception Capability and Security) Act 2013, except that information held by any law enforcement or regulatory agency may be classified security information if certified by the head of the agency or the Attorney-General (see new section 114).

Statutory Management

Clause 60 (new sections 94 – 111) introduces a statutory management power to manage the risks to national security or public order associated with actions by an overseas person, or an associate of an overseas person, who has an interest in sensitive assets, including (without limitation) removing the overseas person's, or their associate's, access to or control over the sensitive assets. This power is based on the Corporations Investigation and Management Act 1989. A notable addition to the powers in that Act is that statutory managers will be able to terminate contracts or arrangements posing significant risk to national security or public order (new section 104).