Departmental Disclosure Statement

Ngāti Hauā Claims Settlement Bill

2013 No 157

The departmental disclosure statement for a government Bill seeks to bring together in one place a range of information to support and enhance the Parliamentary and public scrutiny of that Bill.

It identifies:

- the general policy intent of the Bill and other background policy material;
- some of the key quality assurance products and processes used to develop and test the content of the Bill:
- the presence of certain significant powers or features in the Bill that might be of particular Parliamentary or public interest and warrant an explanation.

This disclosure statement was prepared by the Ministry of Justice (Office of Treaty Settlements).

The Ministry of Justice (Office of Treaty Settlements) certify that, to the best of its knowledge and understanding, the information provided is complete and accurate at the date of finalisation below.

3 October 2013

Contents

Contents	2
Part One: General Policy Statement	3
Part Two: Background Material and Policy Information	5
Part Three: Testing of Legislative Content	6
Part Four: Significant Legislative Features	8

Part One: General Policy Statement

This Bill gives effect to the deed of settlement signed on 18 July 2013 in which the Crown and Ngāti Hauā agreed to the final settlement of the non-raupatu historical Treaty of Waitangi claims of Ngāti Hauā. Legislation is necessary to give effect to certain aspects of the settlement. Other aspects of the settlement are provided for only in the deed of settlement.

The raupatu claims of Ngāti Hauā were settled in 1995 as part of the Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims Settlement Act 1995. Ngāti Hauā's raupatu claims to the Waikato River were settled as part of the Waikato Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010. As part of Waikato-Tainui, Ngāti Hauā benefit from those settlements.

Part 1—

- sets out the purpose of the Bill and deals with other matters of general application:
- defines Ngāti Hauā, non-raupatu historical claims, and other essential elements:
- records the acknowledgements and apology offered by the Crown to Ngāti Hauā:
- gives effect to the agreement between the Crown and Ngāti Hauā to a final settlement of the non-raupatu historical Treaty of Waitangi claims of Ngāti Hauā:
- removes the jurisdiction of judicial bodies in respect of the Ngāti Hauā historical claims and the redress provided under the deed of settlement or the Bill:
- deals with related issues, including a consequential amendment to the Treaty of Waitangi Act 1975, and the removal of certain resumptive memorials.

Part 2 sets out the cultural redress provided to Ngāti Hauā, including—

- provision for a taonga tūturu protocol and a conservation relationship agreement:
- provision for statutory acknowledgement and deeds of recognition;
- an overlay classification:
- cultural redress properties and their vesting and administration provisions;
- vesting and gifting back of property:
- provision for a committee in relation to the administration of Waharoa
 Aerodome land and the Council's Waharoa Aerodrome land, and for the
 Waharoa Aerodrome land to vest in the trustees if the reserve status of the land
 is revoked.

Part 3 of the Bill makes provision for certain commercial redress to be provided for the benefit of Ngāti Hauā in relation to—

- commercial redress and deferred selection properties that are to be transferred to the trustees:
- second right of deferred purchase properties if other named iwi decline to purchase under their settlement:
- a right of first refusal (RFR) over RFR land.

Part 4 makes provision for various enactments, regulations, bylaws, components of integrated river management plans and joint management agreements to apply to, or in relation to, Te Taurapa o Te Ihingarangi ki Te Puaha o Waitete subcatchment, a subcatchment of the Waikato River within Ngati Haua's rohe.

The provisions in *Part 4* are part of the statutory framework applying to the Waikato River and its tributaries, as provided for in the Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010 and the Ngāti Tūwharetoa, Raukawa and Te Arawa River Iwi Waikato River Act 2010. Similar provisions are proposed for settlement legislation relating to neighbouring iwi namely Raukawa and Ngāti Koroki Kahukura.

The provisions in *Part 4* provide for a geographical extension of the co-management instruments for the Waikato River provided to Waikato-Tainui under the Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010. Ngāti Hauā is defined as part of Waikato Tainui under the Waikato-Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010 and will continue to be represented by the Waikato Raupatu River Trust, representing Waikato-Tainui under that Act.

Part Two: Background Material and Policy Information

Published reviews or evaluations

2.1. Are there any publicly available inquiry, review or evaluation reports that have informed, or are relevant to, the policy to be given effect by this Bill?	YES
Co-management arrangements for the Waikato River; Report of the Independent Review Panel, 27 April 2009 http://www.beehive.govt.nz/release/waikato-river-co-management-arrangements-review-independent-panel	

Relevant international treaties

2.2. Does this Bill seek to give effect to New Zealand action in relation to an international treaty?	NO

Regulatory impact analysis

2.3. Were any regulatory impact statements provided to inform the policy decisions that led to this Bill?	NO
---	----

Extent of impact analysis available

2.4. Has further impact analysis become available for any aspects of the policy to be given effect by this Bill?	NO

2.5. For the policy to be given effect by this Bill, is there analysis available on:	
(a) the size of the potential costs and benefits?	NO
(b) the potential for any group of persons to suffer a substantial unavoidable loss of income or wealth?	NO

2.6. For the policy to be given effect by this Bill, are the potential costs or benefits likely to be impacted by:	
(a) the level of effective compliance or non-compliance with applicable obligations or standards?	NO
(b) the nature and level of regulator effort put into encouraging or securing compliance?	NO

Part Three: Testing of Legislative Content

Consistency with New Zealand's international obligations

3.1. What steps have been taken to determine whether the policy to be given effect by this Bill is consistent with New Zealand's international obligations?

No steps have been undertaken

http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/guidance/regulatory/disclosurestatements/13.htm

Consistency with the government's Treaty of Waitangi obligations

3.2. What steps have been taken to determine whether the policy to be given effect by this Bill is consistent with the principles of the Treaty of Waitangi?

During settlement negotiations, the Office of Treaty Settlements and Ngāti Hauā negotiators engaged with iwi and hapu whose interests are directly affected by the settlement. The redress given effect by this Bill is consistent with Treaty principles and Treaty of Waitangi settlement policy.

http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/guidance/regulatory/disclosurestatements/14.htm

Consistency with the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990

3.3. Has advice been provided to the Attorney-General on whether any provisions of this Bill appear to limit any of the rights and freedoms affirmed in the New Zealand Bill of Rights Act 1990?	YES
--	-----

Advice provided to the Attorney-General by the Crown Law Office, or a section 7 report of the Attorney-General, is generally expected to be available on the Ministry of Justice website upon introduction of a Bill. Such advice, or reports, will be accessible on the Ministry's website at http://www.justice.govt.nz/policy/constitutional-law-and-human-rights/human-rights/bill-of-rights/http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/guidance/regulatory/disclosurestatements/15.htm

Offences, penalties and court jurisdictions

3.4. Does this Bill create, amend, or remove:	
(a) offences or penalties (including infringement offences or penalties and civil pecuniary penalty regimes)?	NO
(b) the jurisdiction of a court or tribunal (including rights to judicial review or rights of appeal)?	YES

The Bill settled historic Treaty claims and removes the jurisdiction of courts, tribunals and other judicial bodies into the claims, deed of settlement and redress provided.

http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/quidance/regulatory/disclosurestatements/16.htm

3.4.1. Was the Ministry of Justice consulted about these provisions?

YES

These provisions were developed by the Office of Treaty Settlements which is part of the Ministry of Justice.

http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/quidance/regulatory/disclosurestatements/16.htm

Privacy issues

3.5. Does this Bill create, amend or remove any provisions relating to
the collection, storage, access to, correction of, use or disclosure of
personal information?

NO

External consultation

3.6. Has there been any external consultation on the policy to be given effect by this Bill, or on a draft of this Bill?

YES

Stakeholder groups (e.g. overlapping iwi, councils, affected individuals, recreation groups) were informed of the key relevant provisions contained in the Bill as the settlement was negotiated and agreed, and were invited to comment on relevant parts of the bill affecting them. Iwi with settlement legislation have been consulted on proposed legislative provisions affecting their settlements.

Overlapping groups: Ngāti Hinerangi, Ngāti Wairere, Ngāti Koroki Kahukura, Ngāti Ranginui, Ngāi Te Rangi, Ngāti Pukenga, the Tauranga Moana Collective, Raukawa, Waikato-Tainui, the Hauraki Collective and affiliate iwi (Ngāti Paoa, Ngāti Tara Tokanui, Ngāti Rahiri Tumutumu, Ngāti Maru, Ngāti Hako and Ngāti Tamaterā

Councils: Matamata Piako District Council, Waipa District Council, Waikato Regional Council

Stakeholders: Cornelius Willem Keiser, Eleanor Beatrice Thomass, New Zealand Deerstalkers Association, Scouts New Zealand

http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/guidance/regulatory/disclosurestatements/18.htm

Other testing of proposals

3.7. Have the policy details to be given effect by this Bill been otherwise tested or assessed in any way to ensure the Bill's provisions are workable and complete?

YES

The proposed provisions are tested throughout the negotiations process through consultation with key stakeholders and engagement with third parties. The deed of settlement provisions were ratified by Ngāti Hauā before the deed of settlement was signed on 18 July 2013.

http://www.treasury.govt.nz/publications/guidance/regulatory/disclosurestatements/19.htm

Part Four: Significant Legislative Features

Compulsory acquisition of private property

4.1. Does this Bill contain any provisions that could result in the	NO
compulsory acquisition of private property?	NO

Charges in the nature of a tax

4.2. Does this Bill create or amend a power to impose a fee, levy or charge in the nature of a tax?	NO
---	----

Retrospective effect

3. Does this Bill affect rights, freedoms, or impose obligations, trospectively?	NO
--	----

Strict liability or reversal of the usual burden of proof for offences

4.4. Does this Bill:	
(a) create or amend a strict or absolute liability offence?	NO
(b) reverse or modify the usual burden of proof for an offence or a civil pecuniary penalty proceeding?	NO

Civil or criminal immunity

4.5. Does this Bill create or amend a civil or criminal immunity for any person?	NO
--	----

Significant decision-making powers affecting individuals

Powers to make delegated legislation

4.7. Does this Bill create or amend a power to make delegated legislation that could amend an Act, define the meaning of a term in an Act, or grant an exemption from an Act or delegated legislation?	NO
--	----

4.8. Does this Bill create or amend any other powers to make delegated legislation?

YES

Section 93 (1) of the Waikato Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010 empowers the Governor-General, by Order in Council, to make regulations in respect of the Waikato River within Area A on SO 409144 for the management of aquatic life, habitats, and natural resources managed under the conservation legislation. Clause 140 extends the scope of any regulations made under that provision to enable them to apply also to the Te Taurapa o Te Ihingarangi ki Te Puaha o Waitete sub-catchment, being the area shown coloured green on SO 409144.

Section 93 (3) of the Waikato Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010 requires the Minister of Fisheries to recommend the making of regulations under the Fisheries Act 1996 providing for Waikato-Tainui to manage customary fishing on the Waikato River within Area A on SO 409144 through the issuing of customary fishing authorisations to fisheries managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. Clause 141 extends the scope of regulations made under that provision to enable them to apply also to the Te Taurapa o Te Ihingarangi ki Te Puaha o Waitete sub-catchment, being the area shown coloured green on SO 409144.

Section 93 (4) of the Waikato Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010 requires the Minister of Fisheries to recommend the making of regulations under the Fisheries Act 1996 providing for Waikato-Tainui to recommend to the Minister of Fisheries the making of bylaws restricting or prohibiting fishing on the Waikato River of fisheries managed under the Fisheries Act 1996. Clause 142 extends the scope of regulations made under that provision to enable them to apply also to the Te Taurapa o Te Ihingarangi ki Te Puaha o Waitete subcatchment, being the area shown coloured green on SO 409144.

Clause 143 provides additional requirements for developing and recommending by-laws under regulations made in accordance with section 93 (4) of the Waikato Tainui Raupatu Claims (Waikato River) Settlement Act 2010 and section 58 (3) of the Ngāti Tuwharetoa Raukawa and Te Arawa River Iwi Waikato River Act 2010 with effect that bylaws made under both sets of regulations, to the extent that they apply to the sub-catchment, must be jointly developed and be the same.

Any other unusual provisions or features

4.9. Does this Bill contain any provisions (other than those noted
above) that are unusual or call for special comment?

NO